Synthesis of 2,8-Dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane Derivatives via a Sequential Knoevenagel Condensation and Hetero-Diels−Alder Reaction in an Aqueous Medium

Venu Srinivas and Mamoru Koketsu*

Department of Chemistry and Biomolecular [Sci](#page-4-0)ence, Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, 1-1 Yanagido, Gifu 501-1193, Japan

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-4-0)STRACT: [Utilizing alde](#page-4-0)hyde-substituted vinylogous carbonates and 1,3-diketones, a simple protocol is presented for the synthesis of 2,8-dioxabicyclo^[3.3.1]nonane derivatives via Knoevenagel condensation followed by a hetero-Diels−Alder reaction under green reaction conditions. The structure of a key product is unequivocally confirmed by X-ray crystallography.

Tandem/domino reactions are a powerful tool in organic synthetic chemistry that allows for efficient and stereoselective construction of a plethora of complex molecules from simple starting materials and combines a variety of transformations in a single reaction vessel.¹ These reactions have been studied in detail by Tietze and co-workers.² The domino Knoevenagel and hetero-Diels−Alde[r](#page-4-0) reactions of alkenesubstituted aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes [w](#page-4-0)ith different 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds have been described previously.³ An important way to reduce human effort, the amount of chemical waste, and cost is to use tandem reactions, as they in[vo](#page-4-0)lve multiple sequences of reactions in a single step or one-pot process.⁴

The 2,8-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane motifs have been identified as [att](#page-4-0)ractive synthetic targets because of their prevalence in many naturally occurring products and due to their medicinally interesting bioactivities (Chart 1).⁵ In accordance, a great deal of effort has been devoted to the synthesis of similar types of molecular frameworks in recen[t](#page-1-0) y[ea](#page-4-0)rs.^{5,6} Recently, this type of motifs have been synthesized via a silver triflate catalyzed reaction of 2-hydroxychalcones wit[h](#page-4-0) naphthols/substituted phenols^{6a} and an iodine-catalyzed reaction of 2-hydroxychalcones with 4-hydroxycoumarines as starting precursors under enviro[nm](#page-4-0)entally benign conditions.^{6b} A proline-catalyzed reaction toward these derivatives has also been described; however, the reaction time was lo[nge](#page-4-0)r (24 h), and more importantly, the use of acetylacetone and 1,3-cyclopentadione failed to give the 2,8-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives even at elevated temperatures.^{6c}

Organic reactions in aqueous media have attracted much attention because (i) water [in](#page-4-0)duces unique reactivity and selectivity that are not observed for reactions in organic media and (ii) water as solvent reduces the use of harmful organic solvents and may lead to the development of environmentally friendly chemical processes.⁷ It is also important to note that the Diels–Alder reactions can be accelerated in water medium.⁸

Vinylogous carbonates have been extensively used in organic synthesis for the construction of many heterocycles and polycyclics having pharmaceutical and biological significance. For example, Gharpure and co-workers have been working extensively on these substrates to achieve the benzoxepines, oxa-/aza-angular triquinanes, 2,3-disubstituted dihydrobenzofurans, oxazino[4,3-a]indoles, unsymmetrical dioxa-cage compounds, 2,3,3,5-tetrasubstituted tetrahydrofurans, and (+)-Hagen's gland lactones.^{9,10} On the basis of previous results and keeping the importance of these vinylogous carbonates in mind, herein we report a [met](#page-5-0)hod for the construction of the 2,8 dioxabicyclo^[3.3.1]nonane derivatives by using a gold (III) catalyzed reaction of aldehyde-substituted vinylogous carbonates and 1,3-diketones via a domino KC/HDA sequence in an aqueous medium. Gold catalysts have become a wellestablished synthetic tool for the construction of several heterocycles and polycyclics because simple hydrocarbons such as alkenes, alkynes, or allenes can be selectively activated toward the attack of a plethora of carbon- and heteroatombased nucleophiles under very mild conditions.¹¹

The required compounds are aldehyde-based vinylogous carbonates 1a−e that are prepared from th[e](#page-5-0) commercially available substituted salicyladehydes and ethyl propiolate or methyl propiolate (Scheme 1).¹²

At the outset, vinylogous carbonate 1a and the acetylacetone 2a were selected as the [m](#page-1-0)[ode](#page-5-0)l substrates for the $AuBr_{3}$ catalyzed Knoevenagel condensation/hetero-Diels−Alder (KC/ HDA) reaction. The diketone 2a was found to be less reactive when compared to the diketones 2c-d.^{6c} Thus, we chose this diketone (2a) for the optimization reactions and improvement of the product yields (Table 1). The [re](#page-4-0)action of vinylogous carbonate 1a with diketone 2a in dichloroethane (DCE) as the solvent at 80 °C in the absenc[e o](#page-1-0)f any catalyst did not produce the expected product (Table 1, entry 1), and the use of

Received: September 10, 2013 Published: October 22, 2013

Chart 1. Natural Products Containing 2,8-Dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane Skeleton

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Aldehyde-Substituted Vinylogous Carbonates 1a−e

organocatalyst D-proline in EtOAc as the solvent produced only 5% of the isolated product 3a (Table 1, entry 2). Therefore, we changed our attention to the metal catalysts. The use of $ZnBr₂$ (20 mol %) in dichloroethane (DCE) at 80 °C failed to give the product (Table 1, entry 3). With $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ (10 mol %) as catalyst in DCE or toluene, product 3a was formed in moderate yields (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). The use of metal salts like

AgOTf (10 mol %) or $FeCl₃(10 \text{ mol }%)$ in DCE at 60 °C gave the product 3a in moderate yield (Table 1, entries 6 and 7). Fortunately, when the reaction was performed with $AuBr₃$ (5 mol %) in DCE, product 3a was produced in an excellent yield (Table 1, entry 8). To our surprise, decreasing the catalyst loading to 1.0 mol % also afforded the product in an excellent yield (Table 1, entry 9). In our recent studies, we have reported the propargylamine synthesis by using gold(III) salt as catalyst in a water medium.^{11h} Therefore, we performed the Knoevenagel condensation/hetero-Diels−Alder (KC/HDA) reactions in the aqueo[us m](#page-5-0)edium, but the yield was very low (Table 1, entry 10). To our surprise, however, when vinylogous carbonate 1a was treated with the diketone 2a using catalytic AuBr₃ (1 mol %) in ethanol/water $(1:1)$, compound 3a was produced in excellent yield (Table 1, entry 11). Changing the ratio of ethanol/water to 1:4 also provided an excellent yield (Table 1, entry 12). The reaction time was longer (4 h) when we used 1.0 molar equiv of the 1,3-diketone, and the yield of the compound 3a did not change (92%) by decreasing the 1,3-

Table 1. Optimization Studies for the Synthesis of 2,8-Dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane Derivative 3a

		EtO ₂ C ₃			
		\sim \sim $_{\text{co}_2Et}$ O \circ	Catalyst/Solvent	∩	
		сно	temp/time		
	1a	2a		O ́За	
entry	catalyst (mol %)	solvent	temp $(^{\circ}C)$	time (h)	yield (%) (3a)
$\mathbf{1}$		DCE	80	24	NR^a
$\boldsymbol{2}$	D-proline	EtOAc	50	24	5
\mathfrak{Z}	$ZnBr_2(20)$	DCE	80	18	NR^a
$\overline{4}$	$Zn(OTf)_{2}$ (10)	DCE	60	18	58
$\mathsf S$	$Zn(OTf)_{2}$ (10)	toluene	100	20	43
6	AgOTf (10)	DCE	60	7	62
$\boldsymbol{7}$	FeCl ₃ (10)	DCE	80	6	36
$\,$ 8 $\,$	Au $Br3(5)$	DCE	50	8	89
9	Au $Br3(1)$	DCE	50	8	85
10	Au $Br3(1)$	H ₂ O	60	10	10
11	Au $Br3(1)$	EtOH/H ₂ O(1:1)	60	$\overline{4}$	95
12	Au $Br3(1)$	EtOH/H ₂ O(1:4)	60	$\mathbf{2}$	92
13	$Zn(OTf)$, (10)	EtOH/H ₂ O(1:1)	80	16	43
14	FeCl ₃ (10)	EtOH/H ₂ O (1:1)	80	18	NR^a
15	CuI(10)	EtOH/H ₂ O (1:1)	80	20	NR^a
16	Au $Br3(5)$	DMF	100	18	50
17	Au $Br3(5)$	toluene	100	14	64
18	Au $Br3(5)$	THF	60	20	42
19	Au $Br3(5)$	acetonitrile	80	16	54

 a NR = no reaction.

a
Reaction conditions: compound 1 (0.23 mmol) and compound 2 (0.46 mmol) in ethanol/water (1:4, 4 mL) and AuBr₃ (1.0 mg, 0.0023 mmol) at 60 °C for 2−3 h.

diketone molar equivalents. Thus, we believe that the reaction can be performed by using green conditions that can reduce the chemical waste. However, except for $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})$ ₂, the use of other metal salts like $FeCl₃$ and CuI did not produce the KC/ HDA product (Table 1, entries 13−15). We have also studied the solvent effect by using $AuBr₃$ (5 mol %) as the catalyst. When the reaction w[as](#page-1-0) carried out by changing the solvents, such as DMF, toluene, THF, and acetonitrile, the product 3a was obtained in moderate yields (Table 1, entries 16−19).

With the optimal reaction conditions in hand, we then examined the KC/HDA reaction of v[ar](#page-1-0)ious aldehyde-based vinylogous carbonates 1a−e and 1,3-diketones 2a−d in aqueous medium to afford the bicyclic compounds (3) in good yields (Table 2). The incorporation of the gold(III) catalyst increased the yield, and the reaction was completed in a short reaction time (2−3 h) when compared to the prolinecatalyzed conditions. By using the optimized conditions, the vinylogous carbonates 1a,b were treated with acetylacetone (2a) to yield the products 3a,b in excellent yields (92% and

88%, entries 1 and 2, Table 2). These compounds are characterized by their ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR spectra. The product 3b was further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (see the Supporting Information, Figure S1). It is interesting to note that the reaction of bromine-substituted vinylogous carbonate 1b [with the less rea](#page-4-0)ctive 1,3-cyclopantadione 2b also afforded the product 3c in good yield (79%, Table 2). The bromine-substituted and methoxy-substituted vinylogous carbonates 1b,c also reacted smoothly with the diketones 2c,d to yield the final products 3d−i. The reaction mixture contained exclusively the final product, and it could be easily passed through a short silica gel column to afford the expected product in excellent isolated yields (90−98%, entries 4−9, Table 2). The different types of vinylogous carbonates 1d−e were also employed as the starting materials to establish the generality of the present transformation. When compound 1d was treated with acetylacetone $(2a)$, it was interesting to note that the reaction successfully yielded the expected product 3j in high yield (95%, entry 10, Table 2). In a similar manner, the reaction

of bromine-substituted compound 1e with 1,3-cyclopentadione (2b) also furnished the product 3k in excellent yields (86%, entry 11, Table 2). Finally, reaction of the compound 1e with 1,3-diketones 2c,d was also gave the expected products 3l,m in very good yield[s \(](#page-2-0)96% and 90%, entries 12 and 13, Table 2). Overall, these results highlight the great potential and versatility of the method, which provides a direct and practical access [t](#page-2-0)o highly valuable 2,8-dioxabicyclo^[3.3.1]nonane derivatives from readily accessible starting materials.

On the basis of a previous report,¹³ the present Au(III)catalyzed domino process can be rationalized as depicted in Scheme 2. Initially, the intermedia[te](#page-5-0) A was formed via Knoevenagel condensation, and then this intermediate A underwent hetero-Diels−Alder reaction in [4 + 2] fashion to form only one diastereomer (3a). It may be due to the restricted conformation of intermediate A, as revealed from the X-ray crystal structure of final product 3b (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

In summary, we have described an effective $AuBr₃$ -catalyzed [protocol for the synth](#page-4-0)esis of 2,8-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives via the domino Knoevenagel condensation/hetero-Diels−Alder reaction in an aqueous reaction medium. The precursors used are aldehyde-based vinylogous carbonates and 1,3-diketones. We have also shown that the less reactive 1,3 diketones such as acetylacetone and 1,3-dicyclopentadione are effective under the reaction conditions to provide 2,8 dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives. The products are important motifs in many natural products and pharmaceuticals, and this atom-economical and mild approach delivers an attractive alternative to the classical protocols.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Remarks. All materials were obtained and used as received from commercial sources unless otherwise noted. Melting points were recorded on a micromelting point apparatus. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a FT/IR (Fourier transform infrared spectrometer) in CHCl₃. ¹H NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100.6 MHz) spectra were determined at rt on a 400 MHz spectrometer in CDCl₃ solution. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) downfield from tetramethylsilane for ¹H spectra and are referenced to CDCl₃ for ¹³C (δ _C 77.16). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, $d =$ doublet, t = triplet, $dd =$ doublet of doublet, $q =$ quartet and $m =$ multiplet), coupling constants (Hz), and integration. The mass spectra (MS) were measured on a mass spectrometer (EI). Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on a silica gel glass plate. Silica gel 60N (spherical, neutral) was received by local manufacturer and used for flash column chromatography, and the column was usually eluted with ethyl acetate−hexane mixture. The starting materials 1a−e are prepared by following the reported procedures, and the $\rm ^1H/^{13}C$ NMR data are consistent with the reported compounds.¹²

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2,8-Dioxabicyclo [3.3.1]nonane Derivative (3a). To a [so](#page-5-0)lution of 1a (0.23 mmol) and $2a$ (0.46 mmol) in ethanol/water (1:4, 4 mL) was added $AuBr_3$ (1.0 mg, 0.0023 mmol) and the mixture stirred at 60 °C for 2−3 h. When there was no starting material remaining (TLC or 1 H NMR), the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Then the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL), and an excess of water (5 mL) and extracted. The aqueous layer was washed again with ethyl acetate (10 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with water (10 mL) and saturated brine solution (10 mL) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na_{2}SO_{4}}$. Subsequent evaporation of organic solvent by using a rotary evaporator afforded a brown gummy material. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography [hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:4)] to give the final compound 3a.

The remaining products (3b−m) were also prepared by following the general procedure using the same molar quantities of 1a−e and 2a−d.

Compound 3a: yield 0.066 g (92%); gummy liquid; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 2951, 1739, 1631, 1472, 1386, 1229; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.07 $(dd\rightarrow t, J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.13–4.26 (m, 2H), 4.60 (br, 1H), 6.24 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.88−6.95 (m, 2H), 7.11−7.16 (m, 1H), 7.30−7.33 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.5, 28.9, 30.9, 40.1, 61.5, 91.5, 116.5, 121.9, 125.4, 128.2, 128.3, 150.1, 162.2, 168.4, 196.0; MS (EI) m/z 302 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₈O₅: C, 67.54; H, 6.00. Found: C, 67.41; H, 5.73.

Compound 3b: yield 0.076 g (88%); mp 152-154 °C; IR (CHCl3, cm⁻¹) 2952, 1740, 1632, 1472, 1386, 1228; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.24 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.03 $(dd\rightarrow t, J = 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.26 (m, 2H), 4.59 (br, 1H), 6.24 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (dd, $J = 8.7$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.6, 28.5, 31.0, 39.8, 61.6, 91.4, 114.2, 116.4, 118.3, 127.6, 130.9, 131.2, 149.4, 162.4, 168.0, 195.5; MS (EI) m/z 380 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}BrO_5$: C, 53.56; H, 4.50. Found: C, 53.23; H, 4.64. This compound was crystallized from ethyl acetate/hexane (1:9, 2 mL) mixture at 20 °C. The X-ray structure was determined for this sample (Figure S1, Supporting Information).

Compound 3c: yield 0.068 g (79%); mp 157–159 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2952, 1741, 1634, 1472, 1385, 1286; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (t, J [= 7.4 Hz, 3H\), 2](#page-4-0).40–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.53–2.57 (m, 2H), 3.13 (dd→t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.16–4.24 (m, 3H), 6.42 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 25.8, 26.3, 34.3, 40.3, 61.9, 94.2, 114.6, 118.3, 118.7, 126.9, 130.6, 131.4, 149.8, 167.4, 181.2, 200.5; MS (EI) m/z 378 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{15}BrO_5$: C, 53.85; H, 3.99. Found: C, 53.85; H, 4.17.

Compound 3d: yield 0.077 g (98%); mp 163-165 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2966, 1734, 1631, 1462, 1387, 1234; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.94 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.16− 2.32 (m, 4H), 3.11 (dd→t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12−4.24 (m, 2H), 4.54 $(br, 1H)$, 6.30 $(t, J = 4.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 6.86–6.92 $(m, 2H)$, 7.09–7.13 $(m,$ 1H), 7.34 (dd, J = 7.8, 2.0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 25.4, 27.1, 29.3, 32.5, 40.2, 41.2, 50.3, 61.6, 92.3, 114.0, 116.1, 122.0, 125.5, 128.0, 128.4, 150.2, 166.8, 168.3, 195.4; MS (EI) m/z 342 $[M]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{22}O_S$: C, 70.16; H, 6.48. Found: C, 70.48; H, 6.14.

Compound 3e: yield 0.092 g (96%); mp 122-124 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2960, 1736, 1636, 1471, 1385, 1233; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.19− 2.34 (m, 4H), 3.07 (dd→t, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.11–4.22 (m, 2H), 4.50 (br, 1H), 6.30 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J $= 9.2, 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 25.2, 27.1, 29.4, 32.6, 40.0, 41.2, 50.3, 61.7, 92.3, 113.5, 114.2, 118.0, 127.5, 130.9, 131.0, 149.5, 166.9, 167.9, 195.2; MS (EI) m/z 420 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}BrO_5$: C, 57.02; H, 5.02. Found: C, 57.02; H, 5.33.

Compound 3f: yield 0.077 g (90%); gummy liquid; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 2958, 1735, 1632, 1383, 1157; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 2.16−2.29 (m, 4H), 3.09 (dd→t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 4.17 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (br, 1H), 6.28 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.44−6.47 (m, 2H), 7.22 $(d, J = 9.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 24.7, 27.0, 29.2, 32.5, 40.5, 41.1, 50.3, 55.4, 61.5, 92.3, 101.7, 108.0, 114.4, 117.9, 128.8, 151.0, 159.5, 166.5, 168.3, 195.4; MS (EI) m/z 372 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_6$: C, 67.73; H, 6.50. Found: C, 67.82; H, 6.45.

Compound 3g: yield 0.068 g $(95%)$; gummy liquid; IR $(CHCl₃$, cm⁻¹) 2928, 1735, 1630, 1459, 1385, 1234; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.86–1.92 (m, 2H), 2.24–2.34 (m, 2H), 2.39−2.42 (m, 2H), 3.10 (dd→t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.10−4.27 (m, 2H), 4.55 (br, 1H), 6.30 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.89−6.93 (m, 2H), 7.10−7.15 (m, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.7, 25.5, 27.5, 36.4, 40.3, 61.5, 92.2, 115.1, 116.2, 122.0, 125.5, 128.1, 128.6, 150.2, 168.3, 168.6, 195.7; MS (EI) m/z 314 $[M]^{+}$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{18}O_5$: C, 68.78; H, 5.77. Found: C, 68.45; H, 5.52.

Compound 3h: yield 0.088 g (98%); mp 136–138 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2948, 1736, 1632, 1472, 1385, 1230, 1174; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.87–1.94 (m, 2H), 2.30– 2.35 (m, 2H), 2.40−2.43 (m, 2H), 3.07 (dd→t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.11− 4.26 (m, 2H), 4.51 (br, 1H), 6.28 (t, $J = 1.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.6, 25.3, 27.4, 36.3, 40.0, 61.6, 92.0, 114.2, 114.5, 118.0, 127.5, 131.0₀, 131.0₅, 149.4, 167.8, 168.6, 195.4; MS (EI) m/z 392 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{17}BrO_S$: C, 54.98; H, 4.36. Found: C, 54.62; H, 4.44.

Compound 3i: yield 0.072g (92%); mp 182-184 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 2948, 1736, 1632, 1472, 1385, 1230, 1174; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H), 1.86−1.94 (m, 2H), 2.28− 2.42 (m, 4H), 3.07 (dd→t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 4.12−4.24 $(m, 2H)$, 4.48 (br, 1H), 6.28 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.46–6.48 (m, 2H), 7.22−7.28 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.7, 24.9, 27.4, 36.4, 40.6, 55.5, 61.4, 92.1, 101.7, 108.1, 115.5, 117.9, 128.9, 151.0, 159.6, 168.3₀, 168.3₂, 195.7; MS (EI) m/z 344 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{20}O_6$: C, 66.27; H, 5.85. Found: C, 66.19; H, 5.86.

Compound 3**j**: yield 0.063 g (95%); mp 150−152 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2951, 1739, 1631, 1472, 1386, 1173; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 3.09 (dd→t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 4.61 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.24 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.89– 6.93 (m, 2H), 7.11–7.16 (m, 1H), 7.32 (dd, J = 6.7, 1.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 20.6, 28.8, 30.9, 40.0, 52.6, 91.4, 116.5, 116.6, 121.9, 125.5, 128.2, 128.3, 150.1, 162.2, 168.9, 196.0; MS (EI) m/z 288 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}O_5$: C, 66.66; H, 5.59. Found: C, 66.42; H, 5.62.

Compound 3k: yield 0.071 g (86%); mp 170−172 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 3068, 2926, 1729, 1670, 1470, 1580, 1467, 1222; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 2.41−2.44 (m, 2H), 2.54−2.58 (m, 2H), 3.14 (dd→t, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 4.20 (br, 1H), 6.42 (t, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.8, 26.4, 34.3, 40.2, 52.9, 94.1, 114.6, 118.3, 118.7, 126.9, 130.5, 131.5, 149.8, 167.9, 181.3, 200.6; MS (EI) m/z 364 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₃BrO₅: C, 52.63; H, 3.59. Found: C, 52.54; H, 3.78.

Compound 3l: yield 0.089 g (96%); mp 146-148 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹) 2950, 1731, 1635, 1469, 1228; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.97 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 2.19−2.30 (m, 4H), 3.08 (dd→t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 4.50 (br, 1H), 6.30 (t, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, $J = 8.7$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.2, 26.9, 29.5, 32.6, 39.9, 41.2, 50.3, 52.7, 92.2, 113.6, 114.3, 118.0, 127.5, 131.0, 131.1, 149.5, 166.9, 168.4, 195.2; MS (EI) m/z 406 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}BrO_5$: C, 56.04; H, 4.70. Found: C, 56.12; H, 4.68.

Compound 3m: yield 0.077 g (90%); mp 134-136 °C; IR (CHCl₃, cm[−]¹) 2952, 1739, 1632, 1472, 1386, 1228; ¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.87−1.94 (m, 2H), 2.26−2.44 (m, 4H), 3.07 (dd→t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 4.50 (br, 1H), 6.28 (t, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.22 (dd, $J = 8.8$, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz,

1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.6, 25.4, 27.5, 36.4, 40.0, 52.7, 92.0, 114.3, 114.5, 118.0, 127.6, 131.1, 149.5, 168.4, 168.6, 195.5; MS (EI) m/z 378 [M]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₁₅BrO₅: C, 53.85; H, 3.99. Found: C, 53.62; H, 3.78.

X-ray Data. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data for compound 3b were collected on a X-ray diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). The structure was solved and refined by standard methods.

Crystal data for compound 3b: colorless plate, $C_{17}H_{17}BrO_5$, $M =$ 381.21, monoclinic, space group C_2/c , $a = 23.335(6)$ Å, $b =$ 6.2952(15) Å, $c = 23.129(6)$ Å, $\beta = 107.557(3)^\circ$, $V = 3239.3(14)$ Å³, Z $= 8, \mu = 2.561$ mm⁻¹, data/restraints/parameters: 3724/0/211, R indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$: R1 = 0.0346, wR2 (all data) = 0.1242. The data (CCDC no. 957790) can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif.

■ [ASSOCIA](www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif)TED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Materials including the ORTEP diagram of the compound 3b, copies of ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR spectra of all new products, and X-ray data of compound 3b (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORM[ATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: koketsu@gifu-u.ac.jp.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no comp](mailto:koketsu@gifu-u.ac.jp)eting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by The Greater Nagoya Invitation Program for International Research Scientists in Environmental Science Field to which we are grateful.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Tietze, L. F.; Gordon, B.; Kersten, G. M. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2006. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Rackelmann, N. Pure Appl. Chem. 2004, 76, 1967. (c) Guo, H.-C.; Ma, J.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 354. (d) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570. (e) Hussian, M. M.; Patrick, J. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 883. (f) Li, Z.; Brouwer, C.; He, C. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3239. (g) Grondal, C.; Jeanty, M.; Enders, D. Nature Chem. 2010, 2, 167. (h) Moyano, A.; Rios, R. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4703. (i) Pellissier, H. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 237. (j) Lu, L.-Q.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1278.

(2) (a) Tietze, L. F.; Beifuss, U. Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 137. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.; Gericke, K. Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2006; p 160.

(3) (a) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115. (b) Tietze, L. F. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 47. (c) Tietze, L. F. In Selectivity−A Goal for Synthetic Efficiency; Bartmann, W., Trost, B. M., Eds.; Verlag-Chemie: Weinheim, 1984; p 299.

(4) (a) Bunce, R. A. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 13103. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Edmonds, D. J.; Bulger, P. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7134. (c) Gharpure, S. J.; Reddy, S. R. B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2981.

(5) Yin, G.; Ren, T.; Rao, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Li, Z.; Shu, W.; Wu, A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3132.

(6) (a) Rao, Y.; Yin, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 6029. (b) Ganguly, N. C.; Mondal, P.; Roy, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 2386. (c) Kim, I.; Kim, S. G.; Choi, J.; Lee, G. H. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 664.

(7) (a) Li, C.-J. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 3095. (b) Herrerias, C. I.; Yao, X.; Li, Z.; Li, C.-J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2546. (c) Dallinger, D.; Kappe, C. O. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 2563. (d) Minakata, S.; Komatsu,

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

M. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 711. (e) Chanda, A.; Fokin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 725. (f) Sajna, K. V.; Srinivas, V.; Kumara Swamy, K. C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 3069.

(8) (a) Rideout, D. C.; Breslow, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7816. (b) Otto, S.; Engberts, J. B. F. N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2809.

(9) (a) Gharpure, S. J.; Reddy, S. R. B. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2519. (b) Gharpure, S. J.; Shukla, M. K.; Vijayasree, U. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5466. (c) Gharpure, S. J.; Sathiyanarayanan, A. M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 3625. (d) Gharpure, S. J.; Porwal, S. K. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 1216. (e) Gharpure, S. J.; Niranjana, P.; Porwal, S. K. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5476. (f) Bennett, C. E.; Figueroa, R.; Hart, D. J.; Yang, D. Heterocycles 2006, 70, 119.

(10) Gharpure, S. J.; Nanda, L. M.; Shukla, M. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 6632.

(11) (a) Hashmi, A. S. K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3180. (b) Gorin, D. J.; Toste, F. D. Nature 2007, 446, 395. (c) Arcadi, A. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 3266. (d) Furstner, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3208. (e) Simonneau, A.; Jaroschik, F.; Lesage, D.; Karanik, M.; Guillot, R.; Malacria, M.; Tabet, J.-C.; Goddard, J.-P.; Fensterbank, L.; Gandon, V.; Gimbert, Y. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 2417. (f) Krause, N.; Winter, C. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1994. (g) Kotikalapudi, R.; Kumara Swamy, K. C. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8002. (h) Srinivas, V.; Koketsu, M. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8025. (i) Qiu, Y.; Ma, D.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 1666.

(12) (a) Cui, S.-L.; Wang, J.; Lin, X.-F.; Wang, Y.-G. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7779. (b) Lu, L.-Q.; Li, F.; An, J.; Zhang, J.-J.; An, X.-L.; Hua, Q.-L.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9542.

(13) Tietze, L. F.; Brumby, T.; Pretor, M.; Remberg, G. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 810.